

Numerical Optimization

Exercise IV

Jonathan Balzer

Geometric Modeling and Scientific Visualization Center
King Abdullah University of Science and Technology

Exercises

1. Given m tuples (t_k, \mathbf{c}_k) , $k = 1, \dots, m$, with $t_k \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbf{c}_k \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Find the parametrized monomial curve

$$\mathbf{c}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \mathbf{p}_i t^i$$

of degree n which best approximates the $\mathbf{c}(t_k) = \mathbf{c}_k$ in a least-squares sense.

Exercises

2. Parametrize

$$\Phi := \{\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)^T \mid 1 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 = 0, z \geq 0\}$$

by stereographic projection and find the point on Φ which is closest to $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (0, 0, 2)^T$ by the Gauss-Newton method.

Exercises

3. Modify Φ to

$$\Phi_f = \{\mathbf{x} = (x, y, z)^\top \mid 1 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 \geq 0, z \geq 0\}$$

and regard it as the feasible region of a constrained optimization problem. Calculate the

- (i) active sets of $\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$, $\mathbf{x}_1 = (1/4, 0, 1/2)^\top$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 = (1, 0, 0)$,
- (ii) tangent cone $T(\mathbf{x}_2)$,
- (iii) and set of feasible directions $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}_0)$.

The Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) Condition

Theorem

Let \mathbf{x}^* be a local solution of the canonical constrained minimization problem. If linear independence constraint qualification holds in \mathbf{x}^* , then there exists a Lagrangian multiplier vector $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^*$ such that:

$$(1a) \quad \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}^*, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^*) = \mathbf{0},$$

$$(1b) \quad c_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{E}, \quad c_i(\mathbf{x}^*) \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{I},$$

$$(1c) \quad \lambda_i^* \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathcal{I},$$

$$(1d) \quad \lambda_i^* c_i(\mathbf{x}^*) = 0.$$

The Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) Condition

Essence of proof.

1. $\nabla f(\mathbf{x}^*)^\top \mathbf{d} \geq 0$ for all $\mathbf{d} \in T(\mathbf{x}^*) = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{x}^*)$.
2. Farkas: ∇f is in cone spanned by $\nabla c_i, i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}^*) \Rightarrow (1a)$.
3. \mathbf{x}^* feasible $\Rightarrow (1b)$.
4. Set $\lambda_i^* = 0$ for all $i \in \mathcal{I} \setminus \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}^*) \Rightarrow (1c), (1d)$.

□

Definition

The condition $\lambda_i^* > 0$ for each $i \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{x}^*) \cap \mathcal{I}$ is called *strict complementarity*.